

Comments on Book V

SSC Final Report
Sanitized

Destroyed
Cv # 7

4

14

3

by Susan Kessler
Cv # 1 [Destroyed]
by [Angela Dasher] 10/Dec/80

1477 *Reagan* *22 MAR 1978*
Shag.

TO : Mr. XXX MILLER	DATE OF REQUEST
FROM : DFM	SUSPENSE DATE
SUBJECT:	

NOTES

This is the Scott Breckinridge task force study which was prepared last fall in response to the Church Committee book on the Kennedy assassination. A copy of it was shown to Blakey. This ~~is~~ ^{is} coming to me now with a suggestion from the IG that we send a copy to the SSCI and HPSCI.

I don't believe we should send it because it is extremely critical of the Church Committee report (see page 9 of the introduction for a sample). The Committee has not asked for this paper. Indeed I am not sure they even know of it, although a brief mention was made to Mike Epstein recently. My concern is that ^{the} report will fan the flames and cause them to resurrect these old questions for a new look. I certainly don't mind letting them know when they do a poor job, but not when the cost is another examination of the same questions. I have explained my point of view to Scott Breckinridge who said his concern was that we were providing a report to the Assassinations Committee which was not being provided to oversight committees, but that this was a question for OLC. He says he doesn't care if it is sent to the oversight committees or not.

OB Pete Palmer agrees with my view on this.

COORDINATED WITH (list names as well as offices)

NAME	OFFICE	DATE
NAME	OFFICE	DATE
NAME	OFFICE	DATE
NAME	OFFICE	DATE

ACTION REQUIRED BY GLC

*Decide if we should ~~send~~ send this to SSCI
& HPSCI.*

cy#1408 Report to Moway for Passage to SSCI (?)